

## **Exhibit A**

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

4 v.

16 CR. 371 (RA)

5 JASON GALANIS, et al.,

6 Defendants.

7 -----x  
8 New York, N.Y.  
9 June 8, 2016  
2:45 p.m.

10 Before:

11 HON. RONNIE ABRAMS,

12 District Judge

13 APPEARANCES

14 PREET BHARARA

15 United States Attorney for the  
16 Southern District of New York

AMEE HECTOR

17 REBECCA MERMELSTEIN

BRIAN BLAIS

18 Assistant United States Attorney

19 STEVEN WITZEL

Attorney for Defendant Jason Galanis

20 MICHAEL TREMONTE

21 Attorney for Defendant Hirst

22 PELUSO & TOUGER

Attorneys for Defendant John Galanis

23 BY: DAVID TOUGER

APPEARANCES (CONT'D)

SUSAN BRUNE

Attorney for Defendant Dunkerley

BOIS, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP (NYC)

Attorneys for Defendant Archer

BY: MATTHEW LANE SCHWARTZ

NEIL BRUCE CHECKMAN

Attorney for Defendant Morton

SPERTUS, LANDES & UMHOFFER, LLP

Attorneys for Defendant Cooney

BY: MATTHEW DONALD UMHOFFER

1 (Case called)

2 THE COURT: If counsel would state your appearances  
3 for the record.

4 MS. HECTOR: Good afternoon, your Honor. Amy Hector,  
5 Rebecca Mermelstein, and Brian Blais for the government. With  
6 us at counsel table is Postal Inspector Adam Fascio and an  
7 intern with our office, Natalie Noble.

8 THE COURT: On behalf of defendants, if counsel can  
9 state their names and then indicate their clients, and if their  
10 clients can either stand up or raise their hands so I know who  
11 is who, please.

12 MR. SCHWARTZ: Good afternoon, your Honor. Matthew  
13 Schwartz for Defendant Devon Archer, who is standing in the  
14 first row.

15 THE COURT: Good afternoon to both of you.

16 MR. CHECKMAN: Good afternoon, your Honor. Neil  
17 Checkman for Michelle Morton who is sitting there in the pink  
18 sweater.

19 THE COURT: Good afternoon to both of you.

20 MS. BRUNE: Good afternoon, your Honor. Susan Brune  
21 for bail purposes only for Hugh Dunkerley who will stand.

22 THE COURT: Good afternoon to you as well.

23 MR. TREMONTE: Good afternoon, your Honor. Michael  
24 Tremonte for Gary Hirst who is standing in the first row with  
25 the red tie.

1 THE COURT: Good afternoon.

2 MR. WITZEL: Good afternoon, your Honor. Steven  
3 Witzel, CJA attorney. I just walked in the door. I understand  
4 I'm here for Mr. Galanis, Jason Galanis, for bail purposes  
5 only.

6 THE COURT: Do you need a minute with your client? I  
7 understand you just got here.

8 MR. WITZEL: I just walked in 30 seconds before you  
9 came out.

10 THE COURT: Why don't we have everyone else state  
11 their appearances. Then if you need a few minutes to talk, we  
12 can take a break.

13 MR. WITZEL: Thank you, your Honor.

14 MR. TOUGER: Good afternoon, your Honor. David Touger  
15 for John Galanis.

16 THE COURT: Good afternoon.

17 MR. UMHOFFER: Good afternoon, your Honor. Matthew  
18 Umhofer on behalf of Bevan Cooney, who is present in court  
19 today.

20 THE COURT: Good afternoon.

21 Mr. Witzel, would you like to take a little bit of  
22 time?

23 MR. WITZEL: I would very much, your Honor, if -- I  
24 don't want to inconvenience everybody.

25 THE COURT: Why don't we take a break. Just let us

1 know when you're ready.

2 MR. WITZEL: Thank you, your Honor.

3 (Recess)

4 MR. WITZEL: Your Honor, thank you for giving us the  
5 time. I think we'll be able to proceed at this point.

6 THE COURT: Okay. So good afternoon, all. I'm  
7 Judge Abrams, and this case has been assigned to me. We're  
8 here to present, as I understand it, two of the defendants,  
9 Hugh Dunkerley and Bevan Cooney, and arraign all of the  
10 defendants on the indictment.

11 It's numbered 16 CR 371. It was filed on May 31, and  
12 the indictment has four counts: One is conspiracy to commit  
13 securities fraud, securities fraud, conspiracy to commit  
14 investment adviser fraud, and investment adviser fraud.

15 So let's begin with the two defendants who have not  
16 yet had an initial presentment. I understand that that is  
17 Mr. Dunkerley and Mr. Cooney. Could you both please rise.

18 What I want to do first is to inform you of certain  
19 rights that you have, inform you of the charges against you,  
20 and consider whether counsel should be appointed for you and  
21 decide under what conditions you should be released.

22 So, first, the date and time of arrest of these two  
23 individuals?

24 MS. HECTOR: Your Honor, both defendants were arrested  
25 on May 11, 2016, at approximately 9:00 in the morning.

1 THE COURT: So both of you have the right to remain  
2 silent. You are not required to make any statements. Even if  
3 you have made statements to the authorities, you need not make  
4 any further statements.

5 Anything that you say or do can be used against you.  
6 You have the right to be released, either conditionally or  
7 unconditionally, pending trial, unless I find that there are no  
8 conditions that would reasonably assure your presence in court  
9 or the safety of the community.

10 You have the right to be represented by counsel during  
11 all proceedings, including this one, and during all questioning  
12 by the authorities.

13 I understand that you both have counsel for today.

14 Ms. Brune, are you retained for purposes of bail only?

15 MS. BRUNE: For bail only, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: If you wish to have the Court appoint you  
17 counsel because you are unable to afford counsel, then I would  
18 need a financial affidavit from you and would consider that  
19 application. But I understand that there is no such  
20 application for either defendant.

21 MS. BRUNE: Not at this time, your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Do you understand those rights?  
23 Mr. Cooney?

24 DEFENDANT COONEY: Yes.

25 THE COURT: And Mr. Dunkerley?

DEFENDANT DUNKERLEY: Yes.

THE COURT: So what I'm going to do now is arraign each of you on the indictment. That's the charging instrument that I mentioned a moment ago.

Mr. Dunkerley, let's start with you.

Have you seen a copy of the indictment in this case?

DEFENDANT DUNKERLEY: I have.

THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney?

DEFENDANT DUNKERLEY: I have.

THE COURT: Would you like me to read it out loud, or do you waive its public reading?

DEFENDANT DUNKERLEY: I waive its public reading.

THE COURT: How do you plead to the charges?

DEFENDANT DUNKERLEY: Not guilty.

THE COURT: You may be seated.

Mr. Cooney, have you seen a copy of the indictment?

DEFENDANT COONEY: Yes.

THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney?

DEFENDANT COONEY: Yes.

THE COURT: Would you like me to read it out loud, or do you waive its public reading?

DEFENDANT COONEY: I waive.

THE COURT: How do you plead?

DEFENDANT COONEY: Not guilty.

THE COURT: You may be seated.



1 Now, Defendant Jason Galanis, could you please rise.

2 Mr. Galanis, have you seen a copy of the indictment in  
3 this case?

4 DEFENDANT JASON GALANIS: Yes, your Honor.

5 THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney?  
6 Do you need more time?

7 MR. WITZEL: No. He's discussed it with his previous  
8 attorney. He has, and we've discussed it. He's discussed it  
9 with his previous attorney and would waive the reading.

10 THE COURT: How do you plead to the charges?

11 DEFENDANT JASON GALANIS: Not guilty, your Honor.

12 THE COURT: You may be seated.

13 Defendant Gary Hirst, please rise.

14 Have you seen a copy of the indictment?

15 DEFENDANT HIRST: Yes, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney?

17 DEFENDANT HIRST: Yes, your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Do you waive its public reading?

19 DEFENDANT HIRST: I do, your Honor.

20 THE COURT: How do you plead?

21 DEFENDANT HIRST: Not guilty, your Honor.

22 THE COURT: You may be seated.

23 Ms. Morton, would you please stand.

24 Have you seen a copy of the indictment?

25 DEFENDANT MORTON: I have, your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney?

2 DEFENDANT MORTON: I have, your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Would you like me to read it out loud, or  
4 do you waive its public reading?

5 DEFENDANT MORTON: I waive it, your Honor.

6 THE COURT: How do you plead?

7 DEFENDANT MORTON: Not guilty.

8 THE COURT: You may be seated.

9 And Defendant John Galanis. Have you seen a copy of  
10 the indictment?

11 DEFENDANT JOHN GALANIS: I'm sorry, your Honor. I'm  
12 hard of hearing.

13 THE COURT: Have you seen a copy of the indictment, of  
14 the charging instrument in this case?

15 DEFENDANT JOHN GALANIS: Yes, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney?

17 DEFENDANT JOHN GALANIS: I have.

18 THE COURT: Would you like me to read it out loud here  
19 in court, or do you waive its public reading?

20 DEFENDANT JOHN GALANIS: No. I waive that,  
21 your Honor.

22 THE COURT: How do you plead?

23 DEFENDANT JOHN GALANIS: Not guilty, your Honor.

24 THE COURT: All right. You may be seated.

25 Finally, Devon Archer. Please stand.

1 Have you seen a copy of the indictment?

2 DEFENDANT ARCHER: Yes, I have, your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney?

4 DEFENDANT ARCHER: Yes, I have.

5 THE COURT: Would you like me to read it out loud, or  
6 do you waive its public reading?

7 DEFENDANT ARCHER: I waive its public reading.

8 THE COURT: How do you plead?

9 DEFENDANT ARCHER: Not guilty.

10 THE COURT: You may be seated.

11 So I understand that bail has been set except for  
12 Mr. Galanis, who is detained. Bail has been set for all of the  
13 defendants in this district except for Mr. Cooney and  
14 Mr. Dunkerley.

15 MS. HECTOR: That's correct, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: What is the government's position with  
17 respect to bail for each of these defendants?

18 MS. HECTOR: Your Honor, I understand that the  
19 government and Mr. Dunkerley's counsel are in agreement that  
20 the conditions set at his initial presentment out of the  
21 district be continued. Those conditions were a \$1,000,000 bond  
22 secured by one financially responsible person and \$200,000 in  
23 real property.

24 His travel is restricted to California and New York,  
25 and home confinement is electronic monitoring.

1 MS. BRUNE: Your Honor, in addition, we'd ask  
2 permission to have Mr. Dunkerley remain in the New York area  
3 through June 17 so as to obtain counsel.

4 MS. HECTOR: We, of course, have no objection to that.

5 THE COURT: So just read through those conditions once  
6 more. A \$1,000,000 bond signed by one financially responsible  
7 person. \$200,000 worth of property; is that correct?

8 MS. HECTOR: Correct, your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Travel restricted to California. Which  
10 district?

11 MS. HECTOR: To all districts, your Honor.

12 THE COURT: To all of the districts in California and  
13 the Southern District of New York?

14 MS. HECTOR: Correct, your Honor.

15 THE COURT: And that he shall remain here until -- I'm  
16 sorry. June 27?

17 MS. BRUNE: Through June 17.

18 MS. HECTOR: I guess I should add that he has already  
19 surrendered his passport, but he is not to make any new  
20 applications.

21 THE COURT: Has a bond already been signed?

22 MS. HECTOR: I believe, your Honor, that it has  
23 already been signed. I think it has to be resigned here.  
24 Although to the extent the person who signed it is located in  
25 California, they should be able to sign it in California and

1 have it sent to the clerk's office here.

2 THE COURT: So I am going to order those conditions  
3 with respect to Mr. Dunkerley.

4 MS. BRUNE: Thank you, your Honor.

5 THE COURT: And with regard to Mr. Cooney?

6 MS. HECTOR: With regard to Mr. Dunkerley, is there a  
7 date by which they should be satisfied?

8 THE COURT: What do you propose?

9 MS. HECTOR: I think a week should be sufficient.

10 THE COURT: Ms. Brune, is that enough time, a week to  
11 have the bond signed by one financially responsible person and  
12 the \$200,000 in property?

13 MS. BRUNE: I believe that's already been posted in  
14 the Central District of California.

15 THE COURT: Is that right?

16 MS. BRUNE: Yes.

17 MS. HECTOR: I think as a technical matter, the  
18 Southern District bond will supersede the bond in California,  
19 and it has to be sort of redone.

20 THE COURT: So it needs to be reposted. Is a week  
21 enough time to do that is the question.

22 MS. BRUNE: Yes.

23 THE COURT: So those two conditions must be completed  
24 within one week. He should sign the bond though today, but he  
25 may be released on those conditions.

1 MS. BRUNE: One of my co-counsel just suggested  
2 something to me that I think makes good sense, which is we may  
3 want to extend to the Eastern District of New York so he can  
4 fly into La Guardia or JFK without being in violation of the  
5 bail restrictions.

6 THE COURT: That's fine. Will he be supervised by  
7 pretrial services in the Southern District of New York or in  
8 California?

9 MS. HECTOR: He'll be supervised in California. I  
10 think he'll just continue to be supervised by his pretrial  
11 services officer there this week while he's here.

12 THE COURT: Ms. Cunningham, is that correct with  
13 respect to his supervision will remain in California?

14 MS. CUNNINGHAM: Yes.

15 THE COURT: So those conditions will be set with  
16 respect to Mr. Dunkerley.

17 MS. HECTOR: We're in a little bit of -- with regard  
18 to Mr. Cooney, we're in a difficult situation, which is the  
19 government's general view was that a bond -- that he could be  
20 released on bond.

21 The district in which he was first presented generally  
22 either released people on their own recognizance or remands  
23 them. So Mr. Cooney was released on his own recognizance.

24 In thinking about the appropriate amount of the bond,  
25 the challenge is that the pretrial services report, which was

1 prepared in the district where he was first presented, not  
2 here, provides very little information about his financial  
3 situation.

4 Indeed, he declined to answer certain questions about  
5 his finances, apparently, on the advice of his lawyer at  
6 presentment.

7 He lists a residence in Michigan worth about \$500,000;  
8 \$90,000 in a checking account; and two cars with unknown value,  
9 although I'm informed by his counsel here that he has sold one  
10 of those cars since this report was prepared.

11 The difficulty is that the government, as a result,  
12 doesn't have a clear sense -- and the Court doesn't have a  
13 clear sense -- what his financial situation is. It makes it  
14 very hard to understand what amount of a bond would guarantee  
15 his appearance in this district.

16 I would just note -- in any event, I think he needs to  
17 proffer more about his financial situation in order for any  
18 bond to be set.

19 THE COURT: Counsel?

20 MR. UMHOFFER: Your Honor, I think we have no better of  
21 an indication of what it would take to get Mr. Cooney here to  
22 appear than the fact that he's here today on his own  
23 recognizance bond.

24 He's been on that for a month with exemplary conduct  
25 during that time, subject to a set of restrictions that are

1 more than what's necessary in the case. The bond format states  
2 that the least restrictive condition is always required under  
3 the circumstances, and we have that right here.

4 You have a man who has known about this case for  
5 months, knew about his arrest. He was sitting on his stoop  
6 with his passport in hand when the officers arrived to arrest  
7 him.

8 He's got two kids and a wife. He's been here. He  
9 appeared here today on a minimal bond. I don't think any  
10 financial conditions are necessary.

11 I think the Court has all it needs to simply continue  
12 the bond that is set or a minimal signature bond with even less  
13 restrictions. And I would like to be heard on some of those  
14 restrictions.

15 The bottom line is, in terms of his financial  
16 condition, you've got the fact that he owns a house with  
17 \$100,000 equity in it, you've got his checking account, and  
18 you've got his two cars.

19 That's all the Court needs, in addition to what we  
20 have here, which is his actual appearance, which makes it clear  
21 that he would appear going forward. If the Court wants any  
22 more information, I will proffer it.

23 THE COURT: I do think it's appropriate to set a bond  
24 at the very least. I think the question is how much. I don't  
25 know if you want to proffer that the information that his wife



1 gave to pretrial services essentially represents his finances.  
2 If you don't want to proffer at all, then I'll take the  
3 information I have.

4 MR. UMHOFFER: Your Honor, I think that the savings  
5 amounts to probably less. I think probably it's about \$60,000.  
6 That's the amount he has in savings. So it's a little bit less  
7 than what Mr. Cooney's wife stated. That's my proffer to the  
8 Court.

9 MS. HECTOR: Let me then add something about what the  
10 government knows about Mr. Cooney's financial situation, which  
11 is that in 2014/2015, the defendant obtained a \$1.2 million  
12 loan from City National Bank.

13 In connection with obtaining that loan, he filled out  
14 financial information in which he represented to the bank that  
15 he had a net worth of approximately \$15,000,000.

16 Now, to be clear, the government thinks that many of  
17 those statements were false and, indeed, represent the separate  
18 crime of bank fraud.

19 He listed among his assets \$5,000,000 of the Wakpamni  
20 bonds that are at issue in this case which he purchased using a  
21 loan -- he purchased using money that had been given to him  
22 through Jason Galanis.

23 He did not disclose to the bank that there was any  
24 encumbrance on the money that he used to buy the bonds or that  
25 he had purchased them using a loan.

1 He then transferred the bonds out of his possession to  
2 another entity. He then reconfirmed in writing for the bank  
3 that he continued to own the bonds, which was false. So I  
4 don't think that that was in fact a \$5,000,000 asset of his,  
5 but he so represented to the bank.

6 He also made representations that he had a \$5,000,000  
7 life insurance policy, that he owned \$2,000,000 in Code Rebel  
8 stock, which is no longer worth anything like that as a result,  
9 in part, of the conduct here.

10 Even taking out the \$5,000,000 of bonds, the  
11 \$5,000,000 of life insurance, and the \$2,000,000 of stock,  
12 there should be \$3,000,000 more roughly of assets that are  
13 nowhere represented in what he's just said.

14 There's just a total disconnect here certainly between  
15 what he represented to the bank and what he's now representing,  
16 even assuming, as I think is true, that some of what he said to  
17 the bank is false.

18 So I do think the bond is nonetheless appropriate in  
19 this case, but I think a significant amount is necessary  
20 because the defendant certainly, as recently as 2015, appeared  
21 to have more serious assets than he claims now. I don't think  
22 this has been a fully forthcoming proffer about the nature of  
23 his assets.

24 THE COURT: Would you like to respond?

25 MR. UMHOFFER: Well, your Honor, I'm not even sure that

1 was a proffer. So there are a lot of facts that were just put  
2 forward that are not actually appropriately before the Court on  
3 this. That was years ago. Frankly, my client has been  
4 decimated by what happened to him in this case finally.

5 Again, your Honor, to come back to the point of what's  
6 required to make sure he shows up, he's shown up today without  
7 any financial bond whatsoever, and he's going to continue to  
8 show up, and he's going to appear here at trial, and he's going  
9 to defend himself.

10 So regardless of what's being said here, he's here  
11 now, which shows he's going to appear regardless of what the  
12 bond is. So the notion that a substantial bond needs to be set  
13 is just inconsistent with the fact that for weeks he's been on  
14 bond on his own recognizance and has appeared here today and  
15 will continue to do so.

16 THE COURT: What I'm going to do is I'm going to set a  
17 \$1,000,000 bond to be signed by one financially responsible  
18 person.

19 How much time would you need to get someone to sign  
20 that?

21 MR. UMHOFFER: Two weeks, your Honor.

22 THE COURT: That should be signed within two weeks.  
23 He can be released on his signature today. Travel should be  
24 restricted to the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York,  
25 the District of Michigan, and the District of Nevada. That's

1 what pretrial recommended until his expected relocation date of  
2 June 20, 2016.

3 Is that acceptable in terms of the travel?

4 MR. UMHOFFER: Your Honor, I'm not sure why a travel  
5 restriction would be necessary here. I understand that it's  
6 not been required with some of the other defendants who are  
7 similarly situated.

8 A travel restriction to the 48 states seems reasonable  
9 under the circumstances. Mr. Cooney currently lives in an area  
10 that spans -- it's very close to a border. So he's got  
11 permission to go into California from Nevada because he's on  
12 the border.

13 He also needs to travel for business to continue to do  
14 his work. So, your Honor, we'd request a travel restriction to  
15 the 48 states.

16 THE COURT: Does the government have a position on  
17 that?

18 MS. HECTOR: Your Honor, there's one defendant in this  
19 case whose travel has not been restricted to the district that  
20 is foreseeable for the defendant to need, and that was as a  
21 result of proffers of the need of that defendant to travel more  
22 broadly.

23 Given that the defendant has not answered questions  
24 about his income or the nature of his work, it seems to me that  
25 there's no reason for him to be able to travel to any of the

1 states. California, to the extent it's close to the boarder  
2 with Nevada and as a practical matter, he might, in the  
3 ordinary course of his life, cross into California. That seems  
4 fine.

5 THE COURT: So I can going to restrict travel to the  
6 Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, the District of  
7 Michigan, the District of Nevada, all the districts in  
8 California. And to the extent that he needs to travel for  
9 business, you can submit a request for permission to travel.

10 Has he surrendered his passport or any other travel  
11 documents?

12 MR. UMHOFFER: The morning of his arrest, your Honor.

13 THE COURT: He's to refrain from applying for any new  
14 travel documents, refrain from possession of any firearms,  
15 destructive devices, and/or weapons. Surrender of all firearms  
16 to pretrial services, and it will be the standard pretrial  
17 supervision.

18 Where will he be supervised?

19 MS. CUNNINGHAM: In his home district, the District of  
20 Nevada, is my understanding.

21 THE COURT: Until he relocates, which is June 20.

22 MR. UMHOFFER: Right.

23 THE COURT: So he'll be supervised in the district of  
24 his residence at the time he's there. So we'll make it easy.

25 MS. HECTOR: Your Honor, he appears to own a firearm

1 in Michigan. Defense counsel has proffered to me that his lack  
2 of a firearm's license is because Michigan does not require a  
3 firearms license, but I think there should be a specific date  
4 for the surrender of that pretrial to pretrial services.

5 THE COURT: How much time?

6 MR. UMHOFFER: He cannot have access to the gun until  
7 he gets there. So 30 days, your Honor?

8 THE COURT: So we'll do 30 days for surrender of the  
9 firearm.

10 So those are the conditions with respect to  
11 Mr. Cooney.

12 MR. UMHOFFER: Your Honor, I just want to clarify.

13 THE COURT: Yes.

14 MR. UMHOFFER: There are a couple conditions that I  
15 just want to make clear. The Court did not state them, but  
16 there's a reference involving handling of investors. I don't  
17 think that's necessary. In fact, he does business in that  
18 area. That was set when he appeared in Reno, but I don't think  
19 it's necessary going forward.

20 THE COURT: Is the government seeking any other  
21 conditions?

22 MS. HECTOR: No, your Honor. Michigan is not actually  
23 one district. It has multiple districts. We have no objection  
24 to his travel being permitted within all of the districts in  
25 Michigan.

1 THE COURT: Thank you for clarifying that. I should  
2 have done that.

3 So, yes. Your clarification is correct. The  
4 conditions that I set will govern.

5 MR. UMHOFFER: Your Honor, may I check with my client  
6 for a moment just to make sure we covered everything?

7 THE COURT: Yes.

8 MR. UMHOFFER: One other request with respect to  
9 travel. He has family near the boarder between Michigan and  
10 Ohio. He would like permission to be able to travel to see  
11 them.

12 THE COURT: How often does he go to see them?

13 MR. UMHOFFER: Not much now, but when he gets to  
14 Michigan, it will be regularly. Perhaps subject to pretrial  
15 services approval on that?

16 THE COURT: That's fine.

17 MR. UMHOFFER: Thank you, your Honor. Nothing further,  
18 your Honor. Thank you.

19 THE COURT: Ohio also has multiple districts. So  
20 we'll just clarify that.

21 MR. UMHOFFER: Yes.

22 THE COURT: So I think that's settled with respect to  
23 bail or detention with respect to all of the defendants.

24 Everyone who has been released should be warned that  
25 if you fail to appear in court or you violate any of the

1 conditions of your release, a warrant will be issued for your  
2 arrest, and you or anyone who signed the bond will not  
3 responsible for paying the full amount of the bond. In  
4 addition, you may be charged with the crime of bail jumping.

5 Why don't we turn to discovery.

6 What's the status of discovery? What does it include?  
7 And how long do you think it will take to produce it?

8 MS. HECTOR: It's a significant volume of discovery in  
9 this case. We produced today just people's individual rap  
10 sheets, marshal intake forms, and credit reports for those  
11 defendants for whom the government had received them.

12 We have bank records, a GPS affidavit and order, and  
13 the results of the GPS order that we will produce to the  
14 defendants next week.

15 The bulk of the discovery is all going to come in a  
16 single database. It's documents principally that were  
17 subpoenaed by the SEC from numerous different custodians.

18 That database contains about 85,000 documents, not  
19 pages, documents, and is about 75 gigabytes in size. In  
20 addition to that, the government has received a number of  
21 individual productions in separate databases that it will also  
22 produce.

23 The way it proposes to do that is if the defendants  
24 provide the government with a 1 terabyte hard drive, we will be  
25 able to produce both the entirety of the SEC databases and the



1 database that the government has in one unit.

2           The SEC, as I understand it, maintains its IT  
3 processing all in a central location for the country. So we  
4 anticipate having a copy of that SEC database in our possession  
5 in about the next two weeks and then making copies for the  
6 defendants as we receive their hard drives.

7           In addition, there's a handful of other evidence.  
8 There is, for example, the contents of one of the defendant's  
9 phones. There are recordings that were made by one of the  
10 defendants.

11           I don't know the exact number of hours, but it's about  
12 2 gigabytes worth of data. And then the final two issues are  
13 Atlanta and Hughes were the two investment adviser firms that  
14 were taken captive and whose clients' money was used to  
15 purchase the bonds in this case.

16           The SEC received a number of hard drives, USB drives,  
17 and laptops from those entities. They searched them using  
18 search terms and documents that they deemed relevant or  
19 important have been added into the database I described.

20           But to the extent the defendants want copies of the  
21 entirety of those computer devices, those can be produced.  
22 It's about 2 terabytes of data. As I understand it from the IT  
23 people, the logical way to do is that is to get a separate 2  
24 terabyte hard drive. They make 4 terabyte ones, but they're  
25 much more expensive than two smaller ones. So that is the

logical way to do it.

Then finally, leaving aside those particular computer devices that were received, Atlanta and Hughes maintained servers, and entire contents of the servers are either in one case have been given to the SEC but not yet processed and are being given -- we simply have no idea what the volume of that is. I mean it's enormous. It's an entire server. I'm not sure yet what the logical way is to make it available.

Obviously, the key documents that have been identified will be produced individually. If the defendants want access to the servers at large, as we understand how to do that, we will make that available.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. HECTOR: So I think from a timing perspective, assuming that the government takes possession of the SEC database in two weeks and assuming that the defendants have given us hard drives by that date, I think it probably will take us something like another two weeks to process it out.

So I think we're talking about the bulk of the discovery hopefully being accomplished in the next four, depending on IT issues, six weeks with the servers and the other stuff being done on a rolling basis as we understand exactly what's necessary.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. TOUGER: David Touger. The recordings are 2

1 gigabytes. Whose recordings were they?

2 MS. HECTOR: Those were recordings made by Defendant  
3 Michelle Morton.

4 MR. TOUGER: And you want the terabyte hard drive and  
5 the 2 gig hard drive?

6 MS. HECTOR: No. Unless I've gotten the technology  
7 wrong, I think the recordings will fit on to the terabyte hard  
8 drive in addition to the SEC database. So one 1 terabyte hard  
9 drive should cover the bulk of the documents, unless you also  
10 want copies of the hard drives, etc. Then we need a separate 2  
11 terabyte hard drive.

12 THE COURT: So, defense counsel, how much time -- when  
13 should I set another conference? I'm not going to set a trial  
14 date at this time. I think there's just too much material for  
15 you to review.

16 What I would like to do is set another conference. At  
17 that time I'm going to ask whether you intend to make any  
18 motions and set a schedule for motions, as well as a trial  
19 date.

20 How much time do you think you need? 60 days? 90  
21 days? What do you think makes sense? Feel free to talk  
22 amongst yourselves.

23 (Pause)

24 MR. TOUGER: Your Honor, the complicating factor here  
25 is some of us have a companion date in front of Judge Castel

1 which has, if you can imagine, probably five times the amount  
2 of documents as in this case. And that trial is scheduled for  
3 September, which some of us are obviously reviewing all of  
4 those documents.

5 THE COURT: Right.

6 MR. TOUGER: Now we're being added 85,000 new  
7 documents to review. So we were wondering if we could have  
8 until October to get back to you. We can set it 90 days out,  
9 but it would be unrealistic that some of us who have two  
10 trials -- and Mr. Checkman also has another case. Sometime in  
11 October would seem like a more realistic time.

12 MR. TREMONTE: Another complicating factor that's  
13 relevant to the Court's evaluation of this is that a  
14 substantial component of the discovery issue in that other  
15 case -- there are three defendants here who are scheduled to go  
16 to trial in that case in September -- a substantial component  
17 of that discovery is made available to the defense only at the  
18 U.S. Attorney's Office on appointment.

19 So, in addition to the -- I think it's fairly  
20 characterized as an overwhelming volume of documents, much of  
21 which is relevant to the case, another very substantial chunk  
22 of discovery takes, I would say, three to four times as long to  
23 review.

24 So realistically, it's all but impossible that we  
25 would be able to focus in any meaningful sense on the discovery

in this case between six weeks from now and September.

MR. CHECKMAN: Your Honor, Neil Checkman for Michelle Morton. I would also like to add to that that I've been appointed on this particular case, and at the time of the appointment, your Honor, I was also appointed on several other cases of the large take-downs in this district, White Plains, and two others, one before Judge Nathan and one before Judge Kaplan.

There are hard drives' worth of discovery information. I believe it makes sense to put off the next court date in this case until October so we get the chance to get a handle on all of this and be able to do justice to the other cases.

THE COURT: Does anyone have an objection to my putting the case off until October? No.

So why don't we do that. How long is the trial? What is the actual September trial date before Judge Castel?

MS. HECTOR: September 12, your Honor.

THE COURT: How long is it expected to last?

MS. HECTOR: It's a little unclear because the defendants are all still in the case, and we'll see what unfolds over the summer, but I think it's going to be many weeks. I think we will certainly be on trial in the beginning of October. I'm optimistic that we will be done by the end of October.

THE COURT: So does it make sense to put it off --

1 MR. TOUGER: The first week of November. And then I  
2 know Mr. Galanis is incarcerated, but he's incarcerated on the  
3 other case.

4 THE COURT: Mr. Witzel, do you want to weigh in on the  
5 timing?

6 MR. WITZEL: When we discussed bail, we're going to  
7 wait for the -- we're not going to ask for bail now until after  
8 the Second Circuit has resolved the matter before Judge Castel.  
9 So with that in mind, we would have no objection to a late  
10 October or early November date.

11 THE COURT: Why don't we put it off for November 4.

12 Do you want to do it at 9:00 a.m.? It's a Friday.  
13 9:00 a.m. on November 4. Does that work for everyone?

14 MS. HECTOR: Your Honor, that's generally fine. I  
15 think, assuming that Jason Galanis will still be in custody at  
16 that time -- I assume he will be -- the marshals may have  
17 trouble being here by 9:00.

18 THE COURT: I'm on trial that day. I can put it on  
19 for 1:00 that day.

20 MS. HECTOR: Great.

21 THE COURT: So 1:00 on November 4. As I said, at that  
22 time, I am going to set a motion schedule and a trial date. So  
23 you just need to be in a position to do that. You don't need  
24 to know exactly which motions you intend to make, but you have  
25 to have enough of a sense to weigh in on timing. So I hope

1 that's clear.

2 Does the government seek to exclude time under the  
3 Speedy Trial Act?

4 MS. HECTOR: We do, your Honor, to allow the  
5 defendants to review the voluminous discovery in this case and  
6 to begin to consider any motions they may wish to make.

7 THE COURT: Any objection? So I will exclude time  
8 from today until November 4 pursuant to 18 U.S. Code, Section  
9 3161(h)(7)(A). I find that the ends of justice served by  
10 excluding such time outweigh the interests of the public and  
11 the defendants in a speedy trial because it will allow the  
12 defendants and counsel to review discovery and consider any  
13 motions they'd like to make.

14 Are there any other issues we need to discuss today?

15 MS. HECTOR: I don't think so, your Honor. Just a  
16 very general heads-up, I guess, which is the government  
17 returned a very sort of statutory charging indictment in this  
18 case because one of the defendants declined to waive until the  
19 30th day.

20 As a result, we anticipate returning a superseding  
21 indictment in the near future that will that will just set  
22 forth the case in more detail. I don't expect at this time  
23 that it will change the charges or otherwise alter the  
24 landscape. But we'll, of course, send a copy to your Honor  
25 when that's done, and then the defendants can be arraigned at

1 that time.

2 THE COURT: Do you have any sense of timing on the  
3 superseder?

4 MS. HECTOR: I think it will come in the next weeks  
5 probably, not more than a month or so from now. It will be  
6 long before anything is done.

7 THE COURT: Defendants are on notice of that.

8 Now, with respect to Mr. Galanis and Mr. Dunkerley, I  
9 understand that Ms. Brune and Mr. Witzel are just here for bail  
10 purposes only.

11 Is there anything the Court needs to set all with  
12 respect to substitution of counsel?

13 MS. BRUNE: Not at this time, your Honor, because it's  
14 not clear exactly how we're going to resolve it. If it's all  
15 right, may we be in touch with your deputy?

16 THE COURT: Yes. If you could do that or just write a  
17 letter to the Court. So just let me know if we need any  
18 further proceedings.

19 MR. WITZEL: The same for Mr. Galanis. As the Court  
20 is aware, there is the other case before Judge Castel. There  
21 is a substantial bail package, including \$3,000,000 of  
22 collateral. We respectfully submit that would be adequate  
23 here.

24 Until that matter is resolved by the Second Circuit,  
25 we reserve the right to come back and make a bail argument, and



1 we'll be in touch with chambers when that moment ripens.

2 THE COURT: All right. Understood.

3 Are there any other applications at this time? All  
4 right.

5 One moment, please.

6 (Pause)

7 MS. HECTOR: The two defendants for whom bail was  
8 first set today have to sign the bond in the magistrate's  
9 clerk's office. So they should be directed to go to the fifth  
10 floor.

11 THE COURT: That's what we were just discussing. We  
12 were discussing the paperwork to be sure they are in a position  
13 to sign those bonds today. We'll get out the paperwork that we  
14 need to get out shortly.

15 MS. HECTOR: Thank you, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Thank you. We're adjourned.

17 (Adjourned)  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25